

Case 2:1	0-cv-05425-DSF-JEM Document 143 Filed 06/13/12 Page 2 of 6 Page ID #:1839
1	JURY VERDICT FORM
2	
3 4	WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled action unanimously find as follows:
5	<b>QUESTION NO.1</b> : Did Sergeant David Valentine know of a substantial risk of
6	serious harm to plaintiff Jonathan Castro?
7	,
8	Yes <u>No</u>
9	
10	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 1, please answer the next question.
1  2	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 1, please skip to Question No. 4.
12	QUESTION NO. 2: Was David Valentine deliberately indifferent to that risk —
14	that is, did he disregard it by failing to take reasonable measures to address it?
15	
16	Yes No
17	
18	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 2, please answer the next question.
18 19	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 2, please answer the next question. If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4.
18 19 20	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4.
18 19 20 21	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. QUESTION NO. 3: Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial
18 19 20	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. <u>QUESTION NO. 3</u> : Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff cause plaintiff's injuries?
18 19 20 21 22	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. QUESTION NO. 3: Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial
18 19 20 21 22 23	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. <u>QUESTION NO. 3</u> : Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff cause plaintiff's injuries?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. <u>QUESTION NO. 3</u> : Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff cause plaintiff's injuries?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. <b>QUESTION NO. 3</b> : Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff cause plaintiff's injuries? Yes $\checkmark$ No $\_$
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. <b>QUESTION NO. 3</b> : Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff cause plaintiff's injuries? Yes $\underline{\checkmark}$ No $\underline{}$
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, please skip to Question No. 4. <b>QUESTION NO. 3</b> : Did David Valentine's conduct in disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff cause plaintiff's injuries? Yes $\underline{\checkmark}$ No $\underline{}$

Case 2:1	0-cv-05425-DSF-JEM Document 143 Filed 06/13/12 Page 3 of 6 Page ID #:1840
1	QUESTION NO. 4: Did Custody Assistant Christopher Solomon know of a
2	substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff Jonathan Castro?
3	
4	Yes <u>No</u> <u>No</u>
5	
6	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 4, please answer the next question.
7	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 4, please skip to Question No. 11.
8	
9	QUESTION NO. 5: Was Christopher Solomon deliberately indifferent to that risk
10	- that is, did he disregard it by failing to take reasonable measures to address it?
11	
12	Yes No
13	
14	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 5, please answer the next question.
15	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 5, please skip to Question No. 11.
16	
17	<b><u>QUESTION NO.6</u></b> : Did Christopher Solomon's conduct in disregarding a
18	substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff cause plaintiff's injuries?
19	
20	Yes <u>/</u> No
21	
22	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 6, please answer the next question.
23	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 6, please skip to Question No. 11.
24 25	OUESTION NO. 7. Did Severe Devid Vetenting divest his subordiveto
25 26	QUESTION NO. 7: Did Sergeant David Valentine direct his subordinate,
26	Christopher Solomon, in disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to
27	plaintiff?
28	
	- 3 -

Case 2:1	-cv-05425-DSF-JEM Document 143 Filed 06/13/12 Page 4 of 6 Page ID #:1841
1	Yes No
2	
3	Please answer the next question.
4	
5	QUESTION NO. 8: Did David Valentine set in motion a series of acts by his
6	subordinate, Christopher Solomon, that Valentine knew or should have known
7	would cause Solomon to disregard a substantial risk of serious harm to plaintiff?
8	
9	Yes <u>No</u>
10	
11	Please answer the next question.
12	
13	QUESTION NO. 9: Did David Valentine know, or should David Valentine hav
. 14	known, that his subordinate Christopher Solomon would disregard a substantial
15	risk of serious harm to plaintiff?
16	,
17	Yes <u>No</u>
18	
19	If you answered "YES" to Question No. 9, please answer the next question
20	If you answered "NO" to Question No. 9, please skip to Question No. 11.
21	
22	QUESTION NO. 10: Did David Valentine fail to prevent his subordinate,
23	Christopher Solomon, from disregarding a substantial risk of serious harm to
24	plaintiff?
25	
26	Yes No
27	
28	Please answer the next question.
	- 4 -

## Case 2:10-cv-05425-DSF-JEM Document 143 Filed 06/13/12 Page 5 of 6 Page ID #:1842

1 QUESTION NO. 11: Did the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department have a long-standing custom or practice that was deliberately indifferent to a substantial 2 risk of serious harm to prisoners held in the West Hollywood detoxification cell? 3 4 Yes / No \_\_\_\_ 5 6 7 If you answered "YES" to Question No. 12, please answer the next question. If you answered "NO" to Question No. 12, please skip to Direction No. 13. 8 9 10 **OUESTION NO. 12:** Did the County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department's longstanding custom or practice actually cause Jonathan Castro's injuries? 11 12 Yes <u>No</u> <u>No</u> 13 14 15 Please proceed to Direction No. 13. 16 DIRECTION NO. 13: If you answered "YES" to Question Nos. 3, 6, 10, or 12, 17 please proceed to Damages Question Nos. 1 and 2. If all of Questions Nos. 3, 6, 18 10, and 12 were either answered "No" or left blank, please sign and date this form. 19 20 DAMAGES QUESTION NO. 1: What are Jonathan Castro's damages? 21 22 Past loss of earnings\$  $50,000 \cdot 00$ Past medical expenses\$ 945, 632.02Future loss of earnings and lost earning capacity\$  $360,000 \cdot 00$ Future medical expenses\$  $600,000 \cdot 00$ Physical pain / mental suffering\$  $650,000 \cdot 00$ 23 а. 24 b. 25 C. 26 d. 27 e. 28

Case 2:1	-cv-05425-DSF-JEM Document 143 Filed 06/13/12 Page 6 of 6 Page ID #:1843
1	<b>DAMAGES QUESTION NO, 2</b> : Did Custody Assistant Christopher Solomon or
- 2-	Sergeant-David-Valentine act with malice, oppression, or reckless disregard for
3	plaintiff's rights?
4	
5	Christopher Solomon Yes <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>David Valentine</u> Yes <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u>
6	David Valentine Yes V No
7	
8	
9	Please date, sign, and return this verdict form.
10	
11	
	Date: $6 - 13 - 12$ $()$ FOREPERSON
13 14	FOREPERSON
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 6 -